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Abstract
This bibliometric study analyzes the literature on the efficiency of public education expenditure using two types of bibliometric indicators: quantity (number of publications) and quality (impact by year, author, and journal). These indicators are calculated with worldwide results from the database Scopus from January 2000 to June 2018. The results were classified by author, journal, and publication country focusing on the methodological approach with the aim of identifying research trends in the field. The main results indicate the existence of a growing interest by scholars in the field since 2000, who have used different levels of comparative analysis (cross-country, regional, university, school, and student) and methods (parametric and nonparametric). In addition, nonparametric approaches have been most relevant in recent years thanks to the development of statistical software, their public access, and the possibility to work with a small database, which allows an easy implementation and use of cross-country comparisons. Finally, there is an evident lack of literature analyzing the efficiency of education expenditure in Latin American countries, which opens up a future line of research.
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Resumen
Este estudio bibliométrico analiza la literatura científica acerca de la eficiencia del gasto público en educación a través de dos tipos de indicadores bibliométricos: cantidad (número de publicaciones) y calidad (impacto por año, autor y revista). Estos indicadores son construidos con los resultados arrojados por Scopus, a nivel mundial, desde enero de 2000 hasta junio de 2018. Los resultados están

* This article is derived from the project entitled "Bibliometric Study of the Efficiency of Public Expenditure on Education" and has been financed with own resources.
This article presents a bibliometric analysis of papers that have measured the efficiency of public education expenditure adopting parametric and non-parametric methodologies. The focus on the analysis of the efficiency of public spending is derived from the scarcity of public resources and the mounting pressure on governments to improve their allocation. Offering more public services with lower levels of public expenditure is an ongoing challenge for many countries, and only a few papers focus on government spending efficiency in the case of emerging countries, although they urgently need to better assign their limited resources allocated to education. This article is a guide for future researchers on this important topic, and it contributes to the literature by exploring the best way to measure efficiency and education performance based on the level of analysis and individual interests.

In this sense, this paper makes a first contribution to the literature on bibliometric analysis of education efficiency by focusing on the measurement of different bibliometric indicators as a way to establish how concentrated the literature on this topic is in terms of authors, research centers, journals, and countries. It also investigates publication trends since January 2000 in order to advance the field in emerging and Latin American countries, where the efficiency of public resources has been highly debated but not recently tested.

The innovative element of this paper is that it is the first bibliometric study of articles measuring the efficiency of public education expenditure; thus, it represents a useful tool for future research in the area. As many papers have established, the objective of analyzing the efficiency of public spending is to answer one question: can countries obtain better performance results, in terms of public sectors, using the same resources? This is because public spending represents a key factor in a country’s growth and development (Ouertani et al., 2018).

This study presents three main results:

First, there has been an enormous interest of scholars in the field since 2000, but a decrease in 2015. More specifically, A. Aristovnik and A. Afonso are among the authors with the highest number of publications in the field of efficiency of spending on education.
Second, non-parametric approaches have been the most relevant methodologies in recent years thanks to the development of statistical software, their public access, and the possibility to work with a small database, which allows an easy implementation and use of cross-country comparisons. An indication of this recent focus is the keywords used in the papers, which reveal a strong tendency to implement non-parametric analyses, mainly the Data Envelopment Analysis –DEA- methodology. For example, 78 of the articles found in Scopus (22 %) include DEA, data envelopment analysis, stochastic frontier, or non-parametric as one of their keywords. This is a clear indication of the predominant methodology in the area. Some studies do not have keywords, and others do not indicate, in the abstract or title, the methodology that was used.

Third, there is an evident lack of literature analyzing efficiency of education expenditure in Latin American countries, which opens a future line of research.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a brief literature review, Section 3 describes the methodology, Section 4 reports the main results, and, finally, the last part of the document draws conclusions.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Plenty of literature has analyzed the efficiency of public spending, but there is no consensus about the best methodology to use. In education literature, parametric studies use econometric techniques to identify causal relationships between student background, school variables, and educational outcomes. However, most of these studies do not consider the possibility of inefficiency in student, school, or country performance. Thus, recent literature has exhibited an increasing interest in applying frontier methods to evaluate said efficiency using nonparametric techniques such as DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) and FDH (Free Disposal Hull) (Gnewuch & Wohlrabe, 2018; Papadimitriou & Johnes, 2018). Additionally, some researchers have defended nonparametric approaches based on linear programming because they do not require additional assumptions about the relationship between inputs and outputs, while parametric methodologies rely on the estimation of a parametric frontier function that is usually unknown, i.e., it assumes a determined relationship between inputs and outputs. In this sense, this paper offers a review of the literature in the field in order to identify its trends, mainly regarding methodologies.

Studies that adopt nonparametric approaches have assessed the determinants of schooling quality using cross-country regressions for example, Lee and Barro (2001); Fuchs and Wößmann (2007). The determinants of educational performance include resources allocated to education (such as number of teachers, infrastructure, or amount of public or private expenditure) and parental variables (such as income or educational attainment) (Cordero Ferrera et al., 2015; Emami et al., 2019). In those studies, efficiency is measured based on the error term of the econometric model.

On contrary, non-parametric approaches measure the efficiency of public spending on education by comparing the resources spent with the performance obtained from DEA and FHD analyses (Agasisti, 2014; Kosor et al., 2019).

In 2018, many papers assessed the efficiency of public spending, mainly using nonparametric methodologies. Almost all the studies in that year focused their efficiency analyses on specific country groups, considering similar income levels or even the same regional location because of the difficulty
of collecting comparable data in different countries and in order to avoid heterogeneity in the selected sample (Agasisti & Zoido, 2018). For instance, Woltszczak (2017) studied the efficiency of higher education institutions in Europe and the U.S. Afonso et al., (2013) measured the public sector efficiency in Latin America, and Herrera and Pang (2005) did so in developed countries. All the previous studies measured efficiency using a two-stage DEA methodology to estimate the effect that discrentional factors (beyond the control of countries, identities or individuals) have on efficiency levels. Aristovnik (2013) and Salazar Cuellar (2014) measured the efficiency of public spending at different levels of education in Latin American and European countries using both DEA and FDH methodologies. Similarly, Afonso and Aubyn (2005; 2006), and Afonso et al., (2005; 2010) analysed the efficiency of public expenditure exclusively in OECD or industrialised countries. Gupta and Verhoeven (2001) analysed the efficiency of 37 African countries.

Other studies have analysed the efficiency of public expenditure using international comparisons without regard to income or regional variables. For instance, Cordero et al., (2018), using a DEA technique, made an international comparison of secondary education efficiency using PISA data. They found greater heterogeneity across countries than across schools. Another important finding is that the existence of tracking or central examinations does not seem to significantly affect the efficiency of secondary schools.

Agasisti and Zoido (2018) evaluated the efficiency of schools in an international setting employing the PISA 2012 database, as well as a DEA technique, and including certain school features, which was their main innovative contribution to the literature. They found that heterogeneity is higher inside countries than between them; therefore, it is more fruitful for a policymaker to compare each school to an international benchmark. Arias Ciro and Torres García (2018) measured the efficiency of public secondary expenditure in developed and developing countries using a two-stage DEA methodology to estimate the effect that discrentional factors (beyond the control of countries, identities or individuals) have on efficiency levels.

Finally, to avoid heterogeneity in the sample in terms of wealth and development, some studies have used single-country analyses. For example, Ouertani et al., (2018) measured the relative efficiency of Saudi Arabia’s public spending from 1988 to 2013 using a DEA methodology. In that case, Saudi Arabia could improve their performance in education, health, and infrastructure using the same level of spending; however, education presented the worst performance. They found that the size of government positively affects public spending efficiency, but unemployment and money abroad negatively affect efficiency scores.

Kaur and Bhalla (2018) evaluated the efficiency of government-funded colleges in Punjab, India, using a DEA methodology as a valuable benchmarking tool for these educational institutions. Although they found that colleges are operating at a moderate level of efficiency, some important areas such as infrastructure facility should be improved, as a way to attract or retain more students and monitoring systems.

Gralka (2018) found a persistent inefficiency in higher education in Germany using a new concept related to long-term inefficiency. In the same line, Guccio et al., (2017) assessed the efficiency of Italian public universities adopting a DEA methodology in order to prove the convergence between northern and southern regions; nevertheless, they found that disparities persist between them and the universities have not shown important changes in efficiency levels.
In summary, in the variety of studies mentioned here, there is no consensus about the best methodology to measure efficiency, but the research trend is clearly concentrated on nonparametric techniques. Although De Witte and López-Torres (2017) provide an extensive literature review on education efficiency, they focus on the inputs, outputs, and other factors affecting said efficiency, as well as data sources commonly used in the literature. This paper examines the kind of analyses mentioned before to find recent trends in the literature using different bibliometric indicators.

3. METHOD

This bibliometric study examined papers that measure the efficiency of education expenditure through parametric and non-parametric methodologies. As Durieux and Gevenois (2010) established, bibliometrics is a field that uses mathematical and statistical techniques to study publishing and communication patterns in the distribution of information.

Bibliometric studies are essential because there has been an increase in scientific discoveries, and published results are read and quoted by other researchers worldwide. There is an important and growing need to be up to date with recent research and methodologies and be in touch with the knowledge frontier. Therefore, they are useful tools to understand the best way to do something in a specific field.

Bibliometric studies assess databases using different indicators, which aim to determine impact, evolution, state of the art, and trends in a field of knowledge (Sancho, 1990); however, a wide variety of indicators can assess those aspects. As Durieux and Gevenois (2010) claim, there are three types of bibliometric indicators: quantity, quality, and structural. Quantity indicators measure the productivity of a researcher or research center in terms of number of publications in general or in top-ranked journals. The easiest method consists in counting the number of articles published by an author during a certain period of time. Nevertheless, without considering the quality of papers, analysts could make an inappropriate comparison between authors and reach erroneous conclusions in the bibliometric analysis. To overcome this limitation, analysts could use the number of publications in top-ranked journals (selected according to their impact factor) as a better quantity indicator.

Quality indicators measure the relevance or influence of a researcher, journal, or research center based on the impact of their studies on the scientific community. For that purpose, such indicators estimate the number of citations of an author or journal by others. The higher the number of citations, the greater the impact or performance. Authors with many published papers are not necessarily those with the highest impact on the generation of new knowledge. This depends on the type of journal, innovative methodology, dataset, or year of publication.

Currently, many databases could be used to conduct bibliometric analyses, including Google Scholar, Scopus, Econ Lit, and the Web of Science. However, the Web of Science has been the main source of scientific evaluation because it includes publications from all areas of knowledge. Scopus is also a good alternative to the Web of Science because of its advantages (Baier-Fuentes et al., 2018) it contains over 60 million records, most journals indexed in the WoS, and a greater number of exclusive journals compared to the WoS. Additionally, Scopus offers a multidisciplinary database, and it allows users to create filters and search many sources. In this analysis, I used Scopus as the main source to calculate the quantity and quality indicators of this field.
This paper represents a useful tool for analyzing the measurement of the efficiency of spending on education, and it provides summarized results of the leading methodological trends in the literature. The focus of this study is on productivity indicators, such as number of articles per year, author, and journal. As Bonilla et al., (2015) argue, the number of articles is an indicator of productivity and the number of citations is an indicator of influence. Furthermore, another bibliometric indicator used here is the $h$-index, developed by J.E. Hirsch. It measures the number of works "$Y$" that have received "$Y$" or more citations in an "$X$" set of studies. For example, if an author has an $h$-index of 10, it means that she or he has 10 papers that have been cited 10 or more times (Bonilla et al., 2015).

The $h$-index is highly correlated with number of publications and citations, and its main advantage is that it combines a measure of quantity and impact into a single indicator (Costas and Bordons, 2007).

In this work, the search process in Scopus was limited to research published between January 2000 and December 2018 that used the following keywords: public spending, education, efficiency and parametric. After the results were obtained, the studies were selected from four areas: Social Sciences; Economics, Econometrics and Finance; Business, Management and Accounting; and Decision Sciences. The search was not limited in terms of source or document type.

The search equation used here is based on key variables or terms such as public spending, education, efficiency and parametric. The equation is the following:

\[
( \text{ALL (public AND spending)} \text{ AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (education) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (efficiency) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (parametric)}) \text{ AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "SOCI") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "ECON") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "BUSI") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA, "DECI") ).}
\]

This equation retrieved a total of 386 results or documents. All the articles included the terms public spending, education, efficiency, and parametric in their title, abstract, or keywords.

4. RESULTS

These results are sorted by author, journal, publication, country, and information sources using two bibliometric indicators: quantity and quality.

Quantity indicators

Using the previous search equation, this section presents different quantity indicators: number of documents published per year, number of documents per author (as a measurement of the productivity of authors), $h$-index of the top 10 authors, number of articles per journal, and articles per country. Figure 1 shows a very volatile growth in the number of papers published since 2000; nevertheless, since 2015, the tendency has been clearly positive.
To understand the amount of research into this topic, Figure 2 presents the cumulative quantity of documents during said period. This graph is essential to show the progress of research in this field. The tendency is very close to a second-order polynomial distribution. For example, the number of publications expected for 2019 (399) was estimated using the cumulative number of publications from 2000 to 2018 and the regression curve created with the model.

Figure 3 shows the number of documents per author. For example, Aristovnik, Afonso, Agasisti, and Barra are the main authors in this topic, publishing 7, 6, and 5 articles, respectively, since 2000.
Aristovnik has focused his research on the measurement of public sector performance. He studied the efficiency of different sectors, such as education, health, and Research and Development, which are policy areas where resources are significantly limited (mainly in developed countries), applying a non-parametric approach: Data Envelopment Analysis. In this methodology, the relative efficiency score of a country or institution is defined as the deviation from the efficiency frontier, which represents the maximum output/outcome attainable from each input level.

Afonso has assessed nonparametric, parametric, and semiparametric approaches to measure the efficiency of education, mainly in European countries. In his latest article, he conducts a dynamic regional analysis in Tunisia, where he measures the efficiency of basic and secondary education. This could be a very useful research topic for those interested in comparing the efficiency of resources spent by different regions or municipalities using a dynamic approach, given the availability of microdata.

Agasisti implemented a nonparametric methodology (Data Envelopment Analysis, DEA) to measure efficiency in education spending in all his papers. The most recent article by Agasisti assesses the efficiency of schools in 30 countries using microdata from PISA scores of 8,500 schools, but in that paper he compares two methods: DEA and stochastic frontier analysis.

Barra has focused his work on analyzing education in Italy mainly using a DEA methodology. His latest publication is concerned with the current construction of rankings for higher education institutions, and he questions if econometric methodologies matter in order to rank universities. Given that the funds for higher education in Italy depend on performance indices, measuring how well universities perform has become extremely popular and the subject of increased attention. Therefore, the methods of analysis employed in order to rank universities do matter, which suggests that university rankings should be handled with extreme caution.

Among review documents related to the topic, the latest article in Colombia was published by Diaz Castro and de Jorge Moreno (2018): Analysis of efficiency and explanatory management factors in the municipalities of Meta, Colombia. They used a DEA methodology and found that the efficiency levels of education ranged between 81.3 % and 49.7 % in some municipalities; those of health, 66.6 %; and those of water services, 52.8 % and 62.2 %. These efficiency levels show that, on average, the municipalities under analysis could improve their input management. In addition to the calculated efficiency levels, they explain that efficiency factors are related with investment in relation to the population, as well as the characteristics of the region of the municipalities in terms of population, area, or altitude.

Following these contributions, future research can focus on the efficiency analysis of financial institutions and higher education institutions, taking advantage of microdata sets and applying a DEA methodology.
Figure 3. Cumulative quantity of documents  
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Source: Created by the authors based on information from Scopus.

Figure 4 presents the number of documents published in different journals or sources. The most important journal to publish about this topic is Education Economics, followed by Economics of Education Review, Applied Economics, and the International Journal of Educational Development. Education Economics pays particular attention to the quantitative aspects of educational management, but it also encourages applied works with policy implications. The scope of Economics of Education Review includes applied studies into education policy and finance, as well as returns to human capital, using micro data. Finally, the purpose of the International Journal of Educational Development is to analyze the impact of education on progress (defined as economic growth), poverty, human development, well-being, human rights, democracy, social cohesion, peace building, and environmental sustainability.

Using these data, I analyzed the percentage of journals or authors responsible for publishing in this area. For that purpose, I used the Pareto Law, which establishes that 20% of the journals or authors...
produce 80% of the articles. These data do not obey the Pareto Law because 35% of the journals publish 80% of the articles.

After classifying the journals into importance levels (quantiles), the results show that 5% of the journals publish 25.3% of the articles; 16% of the journals publish 50.2% of the documents; and 30% of the journals publish 75.1% of the papers. Figure 5 presents an equitable distribution of articles, which means there is no dominance of a single journal publishing in the field.

Figure 5. Number of documents per journal

Figure 6 presents the number of articles per country. The United States is in the first place, followed by the United Kingdom and Germany. It is interesting to note in this graph that, although the highest levels of inefficiency of public resources spent on education are found in developing countries, the topic generates more interest and research in industrialized countries. Only one country in South America is in the top 10 of nations publishing articles about the efficiency of public spending: Brazil.

Figure 6. Number of documents per country
In accordance with the previous quantity indicators, this study shows a positive trend in the number of articles that measure the efficiency of spending on education, mainly using nonparametric methodologies such as Data Envelopment Analysis. Additionally, research in this field is not concentrated in some authors or journals; in other words, there is no dominance of a single journal or author publishing about the topic. Nevertheless, studies are highly concentrated in developed countries, such as the United States and the United Kingdom, which hold the largest share of scientific publications worldwide.

Quality indicators

Quality indicators or performance indicators reflect the level of quality of a researcher or journal. They are used to measure the impact of a study on the scientific community per year, journal, or author.

The following chart shows the impact of the literature in this field since 2000 (Figure 7). The impact per year was measured as the ratio between number of citations and number of papers published every year. As shown, the impact level has been very volatile, with peaks in 2002 and 2006. Since then, there has been a decreasing trend, but this is reasonable because recent articles include less citations than previous ones.

![Figure 7. Impact per year](image)

Source: Created by the authors based on information from Scopus.

Figure 8 presents the impact per author. The impact level is measured as the ratio between number of papers by each author and the number of citations they have received. There is a significant difference between the number of documents by author presented in Figure 3 and their relevance or impact on the academic community. Thus, authors with many papers published during 2000 and 2018, such as Aristovnik and Afonso (with 7 and 6 publications into the subject, respectively), are not necessarily those with the highest impact on the generation of new knowledge. In this case, Cooper et al., (2006), with their well-known book Introduction to Data Envelopment Analysis and its uses: with DEA-solver software and references, reached the highest impact level in the field. In contrast, Dahl (2002), using a parametric approach to prove the return to education, is in the second place of
impact. Only one author in the top 10 of number of articles remained in the top 10 of impact: A. Afonso. However, to evaluate the scientific impact and scientific output of a researcher, the h-index is the most useful index as it is easy to calculate. Furthermore, two researchers with a similar h-index are comparable in terms of overall scientific impact, even if their total number of publications or citations is different, because the h-index is not influenced by very rarely or very frequently cited articles (Medha, 2014).

For this reason, Table 1 shows the h-index calculated directly in Scopus as a measure of quantity and impact in the same indicator. In this case, Levin, Belfield and, Afonso presented the highest index, which means that they have at least 20 papers that have received 20 or more citations (Bonilla et al., 2015).

![Table 1. h-index](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>h-index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aristovnik, A.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afonso, A.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barra, C.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levin, H. M.</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruggiero, J.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zotti, R.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agasisti, T.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzi, V.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agénor, P.-R.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belfield, C.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Witte, K.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Created by the authors based on information from Scopus.
Finally, Figure 9 presents the impact per journal or type of source (since the search in Scopus was not limited to a specific type of source), measured as the ratio between number of articles of each journal and the number of citations. In the first place of impact is *Introduction to Data Envelopment Analysis and its uses: with DEA-solver software and references*, a book focused on a statistical and nonparametric tools to measure inefficiencies and evaluate the performance of different entities. In the second place is Econometrica, an important journal publishing in all areas of economics; its objective is to unify theoretical-quantitative and empirical-quantitative approaches to solve economic problems. As expected, many of these journals are specialized in economics or education.

The previous quality and quantity indicators show substantially different results in terms of authors and journals. That means that authors and journals who publish more articles do not necessarily achieve the highest levels of impact on the scientific community. These results provide clear insight for future researchers who have the ambition of exerting an important impact on the academic community in terms of popular journals to publish their contributions about the measurement of efficiency of education resources.

5. DISCUSSION

This paper presented a bibliometric analysis of education efficiency, primarily focused on methodological approaches used to rate education performance.

Although research in this field is not concentrated in some authors or journals, it is highly concentrated in developed countries, such as the United States and the United Kingdom, which hold the highest share of scientific publications worldwide. Future studies can assess the efficiency of spending in emerging and developing countries, such as Latin American nations, where the efficiency of public resources has been highly debated but not recently tested. This could be used to ensure that public resources, which are significantly limited, are spent efficiently and identify the policy areas.
that require more attention. Also it could help to answer the following question: can countries, municipalities, or institutions achieve better performance results using the same resources? Due to the scarcity of public resources and the mounting pressure on governments to improve their allocation, the study and measurement of the efficiency in the use of these resources should lead to new efforts in the agenda of the scientific community.

Moreover, recent research trends have shown the importance of regional and dynamic studies in this field. In the case of Colombia, microdata such as those from standardized tests (e.g., Saber Pro or Saber TyT) could be used in future studies to measure the efficiency of education spending in higher education institutions in Colombia or to compare institutions in the same department using municipalities as units of analysis. Even inside each higher education institution, researchers could be interested in studying the efficiency of resources allotted to each academic program to achieve better results. In those terms, dynamic analysis is needed to make short-, medium-, and long-term goals achievable, which requires the calculation of efficiency indicators year after year as the main input and guidance for university managers to approve budget policies.

Following the latest publication by Barra et al., (2018) concerned with the importance of econometric methodologies to rank universities in Italy, future lines of research can focus on Colombian university rankings and their sensibility to the application of a DEA methodology to measure their efficiency. As Barra establishes, university performance depends on the set of variables under consideration and the methods of analysis employed; therefore, this is an opportunity for researchers to guide university managers to find the most appropriate method for their needs.

Moreover, the easy application of DEA methodologies to measure efficiency represents an enormous incentive to extrapolate studies analyzing efficiency in financial institutions (bank efficiency), where data availability is not a problem, and other problematic policy areas.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This bibliometric study examined papers that measure the efficiency of public education expenditure applying different quantity and quality indicators calculated with information collected from Scopus between January 2000 and December 2018. Two results can be highlighted: (1) this field has attracted many researchers since 2000, and (2) said attraction is related to the use of advanced nonparametric methodologies such as Data Envelopment Analysis. The reason behind the increasing use of this methodology is related to the development of statistical software, its public access, and the possibility to work with a small database, which allows an easy implementation and use of cross-country comparisons.

An interesting result is that, although it is an important topic with a positive research trend (as shown by quantity indicators), academic research is concentrated in industrialized countries and very few European authors. Latin American and emerging countries exhibit an evident lack of studies in this area, which opens up a new line of research.

In terms of the main authors in the field, Levin, Belfield, and Afonso have the highest h-index. In their case, they have at least 20 papers that have received 20 or more citations. Nevertheless, Aristovnik and Afonso achieved the highest level of publication in the area. They have concentrated their
analysis in the study of efficiency of public expenditure at different levels (education, health, public sector, etc.) applying a nonparametric methodology.

Studies in the field are mainly published in education and economics journals, such as Education Economics, Economics of Education Review, International Journal of Educational Development, and Econometrica, which are prestigious publications with high impact levels. However, a book has an enormous importance in the topic (i.e., the highest impact level) and represents an essential reading for new researchers in the area: Introduction to Data Envelopment Analysis and its uses: with DEAsolver software and references.

Finally, the variety of bibliometric indicators discussed above confirms that the efficiency of public resources should be evaluated, much more so in education, which is the key driver of economic growth and social wellbeing.
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